Million $ Match! Donate Now to Maximize Your Impact!

03/28/24 • COVID • BIG PHARMA › NEWS

'FDA Is Not a Physician': Dr. Paul Marik Weighs in on Ivermectin Settlement

In this week's "The Defender In-Depth," Dr. Paul Marik discussed the FDA's agreement to remove statements discouraging the use of ivermectin to treat COVID-19. He also shared his views on why he believes mRNA vaccines are linked to the surge in "turbo cancers."

By Michael Nevradakis, Ph.D. 15

the Defender In-Depth
FDA

Miss a day, miss a lot.** Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day. **It's free.

Dr. Paul Marik joined "The Defender In-Depth" this week to discuss how the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) agreed to delete online materials and social media posts discouraging the use of ivermectin to treat COVID-19 in order to settle a lawsuit.

Marik, chief scientific officer of the Frontline COVID-19 Critical Care Alliance and former chief of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine at Eastern Virginia Medical School, was a plaintiff in the lawsuit, along with Dr. Mary Talley Bowden and Dr. Robert Apter.

The FDA has 21 days to delete the tweets and online materials in question.

Marik discussed the lawsuit and settlement, the effectiveness of ivermectin as a treatment for COVID-19 and efforts by the scientific and public health communities to censor such information.

He also discussed the rise in turbo cancers, which he attributed to the COVID-19 vaccines.


"The Wuhan Cover-Up" by Robert F. Kennedy Jr.
ORDER NOW

'FDA is not a physician'

Marik said he and his co-plaintiffs sued the FDA because of "their false posts, and their false accusations or false pretenses that they made about ivermectin" and because the FDA "exceeded their statutory authority" in doing so.

Referring to the 5th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals' September 2023 ruling that the FDA exceeded its authority, Marik said the judge in that case told the FDA that "you are not a physician. You're not in a position to dictate how physicians practice medicine."

Marik said that while the FDA has the power and the regulatory authority to regulate drugs, it is not in a position to influence how doctors behave. "Essentially, that's what the case was about."

According to the plaintiffs, the FDA influenced the narrative regarding ivermectin through various statements, publications and social media posts.

This content included an infamous August 2021 tweet stating, "You are not a horse. You are not a cow. Seriously, y'all. Stop it," which linked to an FDA webpage, "Why you should not use ivermectin to treat or prevent COVID-19."

Marik said the settlement does not affect the 5th Circuit's ruling, which now stands as legal precedent.

"This will be a very important precedent going forward because it will … limit the authority of the FDA and likely the other healthcare agencies," Marik said.


RFK Jr. and Brian Hooker's *New* Book: "Vax-Unvax"

'They wanted the mRNA platform to become … the standard'

Marik said that because of the FDA's messaging, physicians and the public believed that ivermectin was made for animals and that it was a toxic horse dewormer.

"That was completely false and fabricated," Marik said. "Billions of doses [of ivermectin] have been used in millions of patients. It's one of the safest medications on the planet."

Calling ivermectin "a truly astonishing drug," Marik said that in addition to being very effective against a range of parasitic diseases, it's also effective against several RNA viruses, including SARS-CoV-2.

"It acts via a number of mechanisms to inhibit replication of the virus," he said. "It also has anti-inflammatory properties."

Marik said the Frontline COVID-19 Critical Care Alliance included ivermectin in its COVID-19 early treatment protocol for these reasons, noting that "where ivermectin was readily available, [it] resulted in a significantly lower risk of hospitalization and death."

He said its safety and effectiveness are supported by "101 studies [involving] 140,000 patients from 29 countries."

Ivermectin reduces hospitalization and ivermectin reduces death, according to Marik, who called it "a very safe and cheap drug … [that] achieves what the vaccines just cannot achieve."

According to Marik, the FDA strongly discouraged the use of ivermectin because the drug was "a serious threat to Big Pharma and the pharma-industrial complex" and because its effectiveness would make it impossible to issue emergency use authorization (EUA) for the COVID-19 vaccines.

The FDA "had to do whatever they could to demonize ivermectin," Marik said. He accused the FDA of "lying" because to affirm ivermectin as a treatment "would have nullified the EUA" for the vaccines. "The whole point … was to get a vaccine in the arm of every person on this planet."

The FDA worked hard to control the narrative because the agency wanted the mRNA platform to become established as the standard, and there were "trillions of dollars at stake," Marik said.

'The basis of science is an exchange of information'

Noting that in December 2021, the FDA sent a letter to the Federation of State Medical Boards suggesting that ivermectin is not suitable for treating COVID-19, Marik said many healthcare practitioners lost their ability to practice after their licenses were withdrawn because they prescribed ivermectin.

In July 2021, the federation issued a press release suggesting that state medical boards discipline doctors who prescribe ivermectin or publicly speak in favor of it as a treatment for COVID-19. This resulted in state medical boards launching disciplinary proceedings against doctors in several states.

Marik said:

> "Any dissenting voice or opinion was censored. We are considered 'misinformationists,' which is truly a bizarre paradox because it is the federal government and these agencies that are perpetuating the misinformation.
>
> "It's a fundamental First Amendment right for people to have a conversation … The basis of science is an exchange of information."

Your support helps fund this work, and CHD's related advocacy, education and scientific research.
DONATE NOW

'Multiple mechanisms' by which COVID vaccines may cause turbo cancer

Calling the mRNA vaccines "neither safe nor effective," Marik also addressed the "explosion" of turbo cancers since the 2021 global rollout of the shots.

Data show "a massive increase in the risk of cancer, particularly colorectal cancer, uterine cancer [and] prostate cancer … beyond what one would have expected" in young people, Marik said.

He pointed to "multiple mechanisms" by which the vaccines may be associated with the development of cancer, noting that the "Pfizer documents" revealed more than "42,000 serious adverse events and 1,200 deaths related to the vaccine" in the first three months after their release.

"We knew this in February of 2021, and yet they continued with the vaccines, and they continue to this day with the vaccines," Marik said. "It really is a crime against humanity."

Marik said mainstream media narratives have led many people to believe the term "turbo cancer" was invented by "anti-vaxxers" to propagate the "false narrative" that these tumors are associated with the vaccine. "And obviously, again, that's a false statement," he said.

Marik cited the example of the Princess of Wales, Kate Middleton, "who is 42 years old, otherwise in good health, has some undisclosed abdominal cancer" which he said could be turbo cancer related to the vaccines.

Turbo cancers are "going to become a major issue," according to Marik, who predicted they will become the leading cause of death in Western nations in the coming years.

Referring back to the legal settlement with the FDA, Marik said, "The hope is that, going forward, the FDA will react and respond in a more responsible fashion that has to do with public health," noting that this is the first case where "the limits of the FDA have been clearly defined."

"The FDA, although they may not have been the only player … in perpetuating this falsehood, they certainly have blood on their hands," Marik said. "Millions of patients died who needn't have died."

Watch 'The Defender In-Depth' here:



Listen to the podcast on Spotify.

'The Defender In-Depth' airs Wednesdays at 10 a.m. ET/9 a.m. CT on CHD.TV.

Subscribe to The Defender - It's Free!
First Name.. Last Name.. Email.. SIGN UP

SUGGEST A CORRECTION

Michael Nevradakis, Ph.D.
Michael Nevradakis, Ph.D., based in Athens, Greece, is a senior reporter for The Defender and part of the rotation of hosts for CHD.TV's "Good Morning CHD."

Sign up for free news and updates from Children's Health Defense. CHD focuses on legal strategies to defend the health of our children and obtain justice for those injured. We can't do it without your support.

Republishing Guidelines

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy
We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed.
Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.
Got it

15 Comments Login

Join the discussion…
LOG IN WITH OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS ?
Name

9 • Share Best Newest Oldest

Jon
a month ago
Time to put the "charlatan" label onto those who advocate dozens of "vaccines" by age 18. Turn the tables!
19 0 Reply

GarySummers → Jon
24 days ago edited
"Hopefully CHD will join this Legal Action?"
BREAKING* "Sharing Is Caring"
"Is Justice Coming For Victims of COVID Wrongful Deaths?"

Such justice soon may be coming due in large part to the dedicated efforts of two attorneys in Florida (working pro bono) to thoroughly research the criminal codes of 25 states to identify crimes committed by various high-level officials and organizations prior to and during the COVID-19 pandemic.

One of the attorneys, a former prosecutor, prepared extensive legal briefs (documenting strong evidence of crimes) that have been submitted to the attorneys general of Texas and Florida—the brief was subsequently filed with the Florida Department of Law Enforcement.

Anthony Fauci, ex-Director, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases
Cliff Lane, Deputy Director, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases
Francis Collins, ex-Director, National Institutes of Health
Deborah Birx, ex-White House COVID Response Coordinator & former Director of HIV

see more
0 0 Reply

Boopjr
a month ago edited
Looks like us conspiracy theorist are right again. The attorney was so right when he said, if they allowed the public and everyone know Ivermectin workedto cure covid the EUA would have never been granted. Which is something I said from the beginning, this is why they would not admit ivermectin cured Covid. It was all about the money the FHA and CDC, and our politians, Gates and Fauci and big pharma, all need to be sued and/or prosecuted for crimes against humanity and genocide they allowed to knowingly did to the people of the world. They all, I am sure got paid off to push the poison or had stock in the vax. They should now be sued for all the countless deaths that could have been prevented and injuries people are suffering. Had they allowed Ivermectin and hydroxycloroquine to be given to covid patents the people sick with covid would have been cured, no shot needed! The hospitals would not even administer or allow doctors to prescribe it. If you did get a prescription for Ivrmectin or hydroxycloroquine, pharmacies were ordered to not even fill it! When has a pharmacy refused to fill a scrip given to you by your doctor????Which was a crime in itself!. The only hospital protocol allowed to treat patients was Remdesivier, which is what killed most all patients who were hospitalized, not covid. Remdesivier was never tested or approved by FDA to treat covid, and showed in studies it killed 58% of the people who took it for malaria . Fauci know this and was the one to put it on the hospitals ONLY protocol. He is invested in the drug as well as Merdona. All the doctors who were punished for this need to be compensated, aplolgized to in public. I would sue them if I was a doctor that lost their career over healing someone or prescribing Ivermectin. I am so F...g happy that this was done to the FDA. I can bet we hear little if nothing of this on the mainstream news. Share this. especially to the ones who said, it was horse medicine!! God wins!
13 0 Reply

1555 → Boopjr
24 days ago
Fauci made millions from his PATENTS of vaccine ingredients. During WH briefinds Fauci's body moved away in disgust during when 2 doctors in NYC hospitals reported on NYC area having 100 patients surviving Covid well using Hydroxychlorquin and Zinc. Within a day instead of 2 items of HCQ side effects during Yahoo search suddenly there were 2 pages of fake stuff.
1 0 Reply

Boopjr → 1555
5 days ago
Exactly
0 0 Reply

Beatrice
a month ago
FDA Approved= Stay away from it. FDA warning= Most likely good for you.

What kind of a world do we live in that medical and scientific authorities would deliberately lie and deny patients life saving treatments during a pandemic? Oh, but it was a PLANdemic wasn't it? The rules changed.
6 0 Reply

GarySummers
a month ago
"Hopefully this Settlement WILL help **"Dr. Meryl Nass" in her legal Battle with Maine Medical Regulators?"**
She is a "Great American"!
5 0 Reply

Sane Survivor
a month ago
The crimes against humanity are ongoing...
4 0 Reply

GarySummers
a month ago edited
"The FDA's War Against the Truth on Ivermectin"
"Following "Gates Foundation" and "Big Pharma Orders"? You Decide?
https://www.zerohedge.com/p...

Ivermectin is an antiparasitic, but it has shown, in cell cultures in laboratories, the ability to destroy 21 viruses, including SARS-CoV-2, the cause of Covid-19. Further, ivermectin has demonstrated its potential in clinical trials for the treatment of Covid-19 and in large-scale population studies for the prevention of Covid-19.

Contradicting these positive results, the FDA issued a special statement warning that "you should not use ivermectin to treat or prevent Covid-19." The FDA's warning, which included language such as, "serious harm," "hospitalized," "dangerous," "very dangerous," "seizures," "coma and even death," and "highly toxic," might suggest that the FDA was warning against pills laced with poison. In fact, the FDA had already approved the drug years ago as a safe and effective anti-parasitic. Why would it suddenly become dangerous if used to treat Covid-19? Further, the FDA claimed, with no scientific basis, that ivermectin is not an antiviral, notwithstanding its proven antiviral activity.
https://www.aier.org/articl...
4 0 Reply

tracychess
24 days ago
The simple fact is that Ivermectin cures many diseases. However, "cured" in general is not medically defined for diseases cured by Ivermectin. This anti-cure dogma is so strong that even as the Nobel Prize committee awarded the Nobel prize for Ivermectin, the award avoided the word "cure."
http://healthicine.org/word...
To your health Tracy
Author: A New Theory of Cure
3 0 Reply

brbg
a month ago
The FDA should be forced to go on public media and apologize to the people, especially those who had love ones die because of hospital protocols when then they could have been cured with Ivermectin.
3 0 Reply

GarySummers → brbg
25 days ago edited
"*Plus Bill Gates and the Gates Foundation, needs to be Deposed, Plus "all" Communications involving him and his Foundation with the FDA. Subpoenaed"*

We need to know if his **secret MOU with the FDA** played 'any' Role in **Suppressing Ivermectin, and Hydroxy, which could have SAVED Legions!**
1 0 Reply

1555 → brbg
24 days ago
If they appologize that would mean they ADMIT fault, and thus massive possible compensation.
0 0 Reply

GarySummers
a month ago edited
"Will Anyone At The "Big Pharma Owned FDA" be Held Accountable"? "Gates Foundation" Also?
Hopefully "Legions Of Lawsuits" SEEKING PUNATIVE Damages for those who made the Decision to Suppress Ivermectin?

How many Lives could have Ivermectin saved?, **"STRANGE"** I have not seen this information in the **Globalist USA Media?**

"*The FDA's Ties To The Gates Foundation"*
Experts are concerned the **Gates Foundation could have undue influence over the FDA's regulatory decisions of these countermeasures.**

"If the Gates Foundation establishes an MOU with a regulator on a product they want to develop, it seems like it would be a conflict of interest. What if every other drug company did the exact same thing as the Gates Foundation?" he says.

Gortler, now a fellow at the Ethics and Public Policy Center in Washington, DC, explained that normally, meetings between developers and regulators are supposed to be an official part of the public record and subject to Freedom of Information Act requests.

"However, an MOU such as this can circumvent the usual requirements for the transparency of official communications," says Gortler.

"This way their communications can be kept secret."
https://www.zerohedge.com/p...
3 0 Reply

ralphdevane@msn.com
24 days ago
It always helps one's belief in a med like Ivermectin when you and those you trust have personal positive experiences with it. A friend was hospitalized after one covid and not doing well. The hospital refused to give her Ivermectin, but her family was able to get it to her. She was released from the hospital two days later and is now fully recovered. Another friend tells of a family member who was ill. which became chronic for several months because the doctors couldn't get a diagnoses. She went to a naturopath who after examining her, recommended Ivermectin. After taking it for two days, she was back to normal. Another of my friend's friend had prostate cancer where the standard treatment was chemo and radiation. He decided to try ivermectin, but decided to call the CDC to get their recommendation. They told him Ivermectin would not work. He didn't believe them, used it and in a short time was cured. Dr. Judy Mikovits, author of "Plague of Corruption" is convinced it is one of the most important things we can take to achieve and maintain good health.
2 0 Reply

Subscribe Privacy Do Not Sell My Data

Donate Now
You make the difference.

Latest News

Did the WHO Water Down Its 'Pandemic Treaty'? Not Really, Experts Say

'A Dangerous Vaccine for a Nothing Disease': U.S. Stockpiling Bird Flu Vaccines

WHO, Media Drum Up Fear of Bird Flu Spreading to Humans
MORE NEWS

Latest Views

How What You Eat May Change Your Unborn Children's Genes — and Affect Their Health

'Corporate Greed' to Blame for Soaring Food Prices, FTC Report Says

Australia's Public Broadcaster Partners With Trusted News Initiative to 'Protect Public' From 'Misinformation'
MORE VIEWS

Get FREE News & Updates!
First Name..
Last Name..
Email..
SIGN UP

Get Text Alerts
Sign up for breaking news from The Defender
123-456-7890
REQUIRED. Phone number must be in a standard 10-digit format: 1234567890 or 123-456-7890

THE DEFENDER IS AVAILABLE IN:
ENGLISH SPANISH PORTUGUESE GERMAN ITALIAN FRENCH

Children's Health Defense

Children's Health Defense® is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization. Our mission is to end childhood health epidemics by working aggressively to eliminate harmful exposures, hold those responsible accountable and establish safeguards to prevent future harm. We fight corruption, mass surveillance and censorship that put profits before people as well as advocate for worldwide rights to health freedom and bodily autonomy.

TAKE ACTION
DONATE FREE SIGN-UP BECOME A MEMBER

Donations are tax deductible to the full extent of the law. EIN #26-0388604

Children's Health Defense • Legal Disclaimer • Terms of Use • Privacy Policy • Donate • About Us • Work for CHD • Contact • Social • Membership Login & FAQ

© 2016 - 2024 Children's Health Defense® • All Rights Reserved • Sitemap